arXiv:math/9906060v3 [math.QA] 9 Nov 1999

Fields of fractions of quantum solvable algebras

Alexander Panov

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Samara State Universety, Russia, 443011,
Samara, ul.Akad.Pavlova, 1
E-male: panov@info.ssu.samara.ru

We introduce the notion of pure Q-solvable algebra. The quantum matrices, quantum Weyl algebra, $U_q(\mathbf{n})$ are the examples. It is proved that the skew field of fractions of a pure Q-algebra R is isomorphic to the skew field of twisted rational functions. This is a quantum version of Gelfand-Kirillov conjecture for solvable algebraic Lie algebras.

1.INTRODUCTION

Consider a field k of characteristic zero. Let $Q = (q_{ij})$ be a $n \times n$-matrix with the entries $q_{ij} \in k*$ or q_{ij} is an indeterminate, satisfying $q_{ij}q_{ji} = q_{ii} = 1$. The algebra $k[Q]$ is generated by k and $q_{ij}^{\pm}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Denote $k(Q) = Fract(k[Q])$ and Γ is the subgroup in $k(Q)$ generated by k^* and the entries of Q. Throughout the paper R is a k-algebra with a unit.

Definition 1.1. We say that R is a Q-algebra if $k[Q]$ is contained in the center of R (i.e. R is a $k[Q]$-module).

Definition 1.2. An algebra R is Q-solvable if R is a Q-algebra, R is freely generated as $k[Q]$-module by the elements $x^{\overline{m}} = x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2}\cdots x_n^{m_n}$, $\overline{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n$ and

$$x_ix_j - q_{ij}x_jx_i = r_{ij} \tag{1.1}$$

where $i < j$ and r_{ij} is some element of the subalgebra R_{i+1} generated by $x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n$. We remark that a Q-solvable algebra is an iterated skew polynomial extension of $k[Q]$, considered in [GL].

A Q-solvable algebra admites the decreasing chain of subalgebras

$$R = R_1 \supset R_2 \ldots \supset R_n \supset R_{n+1} = k[Q]$$

We consider the lexicographical order $<$ on $\mathbf{Z}_+^n$ such that $e_1 > e_2 > \cdots > e_n$ where $e_i = (\delta_{i_1}, \delta_{i,2}, \dots, \delta_{i,n})$. We denote by $R(\overline{m})$ the $k[Q]$-submodule generated by the elements $\{x^{\overline{p}} : \overline{p} \le \overline{m}\}$. We define the degree for $a \in R$ as follows $deg(a) = min\{\overline{m} : a \in R(\overline{m})\}$.

A Q-solvable algebra is a $\mathbf{Z}_+^n$-filtered algebra. Denote $A = gr(R)$. The algebra A is generated by $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ with $X_iX_j = q_{ij}X_jX_i$. Thus A is an algebra of twisted polynomials. The A is a Noetherian domain. Hence, every solvable Q-algebra R is a Noetherian domain.

Let R be an arbitrary Q-algebra. For every prime ideal $E \in Spec(k[Q])$ we denote $I_E = RE$, $R_E = R/I_E$ and Z_E is the center of R_E. Consider the subset Ω in $Spec(k[Q])$, consisting of the prime ideals E such that R_E is a domain (this is always true if R is

Q-solvable) and R_E is finitely generated as Z_E module.

Definition 1.3. We say that R is a pure Q-algebra if Ω is dense in $Spec(k[Q])$ in Jacobson topology.

To check this condition it is sufficient to show that Ω contains some family of ideals with zero intersection. It is well known that the specialization of quantum algebras $q \mapsto \varepsilon$ at roots of unity leads to the algebras R_ε which is finitely generated over center. This proves that all algebras of the Examples are pure Q-algebras. Roughly speaking a Q-algebra is pure if it has sufficiently many "good" specializations.

The Main Theorem of the paper asserts that the skew field of fractions of every pure Q-solvable algebra is isomorphic to the skew field of twisted rational functions.

2. ON PURE QUANTUM ALGEBRAS

We need the following

Definition 2.1[AD1]. Let R be an arbitrary Noetherian domain. We say that R is pure quantum if there is no embedding of the Weyl algebra $\mathbf{A}_1$ in the skew field $Fract(R)$.

The Weyl algebra over field k is generated by the elements x, y, related by $xy - yx = 1$. Recall that $\mathbf{A}_1$ has no nontrivial ideals.

Lemma 2.2. Let R be a Noetherian domain. There exist the elements $x, y \in D = Fract(R)$ with $xy - yx = 1$ if and only if there exist the non zero elements $a, b, c, d, u, v, s, t, z, p, w, q$ in R, satisfying the conditions $av = sd$, $ub = ct$, $zu = ps$, $vq = tw$, $pabw - zcdq = zuvq$.

Proof. See Lemma 2.9 in the paper [AD1].

Theorem 2.3. Let R be a Noetherian domain. Suppose that R is a pure Q-algebra and $k[Q]$ freely acts on R. Then the algebra R is pure quantum.

Proof. Suppose that the Weyl algebra $\mathbf{A}_1$ is embedded in $D = Fract(R)$. There exist the elements $x, y \in D$, related $xy - yx = 1$. According to Lemma 2.2, there exist the elements $a, b, \ldots, q$, obeying the above conditions. One of the following two cases may happen :

1) there exists the ideal $E \in \Omega$ such that all elements $a, b, \ldots, q$ don't belong to the ideal I_E or

2) for every $E \in \Omega$ there exists an element in the set $\{a, b, \ldots, q\}$ belonging to I_E.

Suppose that the case 2) holds. Then the product π of the elements $\pi = ab \cdots q$ belongs to the ideal $I_\Omega = \bigcap_{E\in\Omega} I_E$. We shall show that $I_\Omega = 0$.

The R contains a basis $\{v_\alpha\}$ over $k[Q]$. The element π uniquely decomposes in the sum $\pi = \sum_\alpha v_\alpha c_\alpha$ with $c_\alpha \in C$. The element π lies in I_E whenever $c_\alpha \in E$. It implies that, if $\pi \in I_\Omega$ whenever $c_\alpha \in \bigcap_{E\in\Omega} E = 0$. We get $\pi = ab \cdots q = 0$. This contradicts to the statement that the elements $a, b, \ldots, q$ are non zero.

Therefore, the case 1) takes place. Denote by $\overline{a}, \overline{b}, \cdots, \overline{q}$ the images of $a, b, \ldots, q$ in R_E. The R_E is a Noetherian domain for every $E \in \Omega$. Denote $D_E = Fract(R_E)$. By Lemma 2.2, there exist the elements $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$, related $\bar{x}\bar{y} - \bar{y}\bar{x} = 1$, in D_E. Denote by F_E the field $Fract(Z_E)$. By definition of Ω, D_E has finite dimension over F_E. Consider the mapping $x, y \mapsto \bar{x}, \bar{y}$ of the Weyl algebra $\mathbf{A}_1(F_E)$ over the field F_E to D_E. The Weyl algebra has no nontrivial ideals; the kernel of the above mapping is trivial. We get the embedding of the Weyl algebra in D_E. However, the $dim_{F_E} D_E < \infty$ and $dim_{F_E} \mathbf{A}_1(F_E) = \infty$. A contrudiction. The R is pure quantum. □

Corollary 2.4. If R is pure Q-solvable, then R is pure quantum.

Proof. Every Q-solvable algebra is a Noetherian domain and $k[Q]$ freely acts on R. □

Let q be indeterminate and let $q_{ij} = q^{s_{ij}}$ with $s_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$. In this case $k[Q] = k[q, q^{-1}]$.

Corollary 2.5. Let R be a Q-solvable algebra over $k[q, q^{-1}]$. If there exists infinitely many specializations $\varepsilon : k[q, q^{-1}] \mapsto k^*$ such that R_ε is finitely generated as Z_ε-module, then R is pure quantum.

Proof Is clear.

3. ELEMENTS OF FINITE ADJOINT ACTION

Definition 3.1. We say that $x \in R$ is an element of finite adjoint action (or X is a FA-element) if x is not a zero divisor and for every $y \in R$ there exists a polynomial $f(t) = a_0 t^N + a_1 t^{N-1} + \cdots + a_N$, $a_0 \neq 0$, $a_N \neq 0$ over k such that

$$a_0 x^N y + a_1 x^{N-1} y x + \cdots + a_N y x^N = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

Proposition 3.2. A FA-element generates an Ore set.

Proof. We are going to prove that $\{x^i, i \in \mathbf{Z}_+\}$ is an Ore set. We rewrite (3.1) as $x(a_0 x^{N-1} y + \cdots + a_{N-1} y x^{N-1}) = -a_N y x^N$. Denoting $b = -\frac{1}{a_N}(a_0 x^{N-1} y + \cdots + a_{N-1} y x^{N-1})$, we get $xb = yx^N$. The existence of b_1, obeying $b_1 x = x^N y$, is proved similarly.□

Denote by R_x the localization of R on the set generated by x. Denote $Ad_x(y) = xyx^{-1}$. One can rewrite (3.1) in the ring R_x as

$$f(Ad_x) y = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

Proposition 3.3. Let R be a Noetherian domain and an algebra over a field F. Suppose that R is pure quantum over F and let x be a FA-element in R. We assert that, if W is Ad_x-invariant finite dimensional subspace and the minimal polynolial of $Ad_x|_W$ decomposes into linear factors over F, then Ad_x is diagonable in W.

Proof. Suppose that the Jordan form of Ad_x is different from diagonal. Then there exist the elements $u, v \in R_x$ such that $Ad_x u = \alpha u$, $Ad_x v = u + \alpha v$, $\alpha \in F$. Denote $Y = \alpha u^{-1} v x^{-1}$. The simple calculations $xYx^{-1} = Ad_x Y = Ad_x(\alpha u^{-1} v x^{-1}) = \alpha (Ad_x u)^{-1} (Ad_x v) x^{-1} = \alpha \alpha^{-1} u^{-1} (u + \alpha v) x^{-1} = x^{-1} + Y$ yield $xY - Yx = 1$. The Weyl algebra $\mathbf{A}_1$ has no nontrivial ideals; the homomorphism $x, y \mapsto x, Y$ of the Weyl algenbra $\mathbf{A}_1$ to D has no kernel. The algebra, generated by x and Y, is isomorphic to Weyl algebra. This contradicts to the assumption that R is pure quantum. We conclude that the Jordan form of Ad_x coinsides with diagonal form. □

4. MAIN THEOREM

Definition 4.1. An algebra A_Q of twisted polynomials is generated by the elements $y_1, \ldots, y_n$, satisfying the relations $y_i y_j = q_{ij} y_j y_i$.

It is well known that A_Q is a Noetherian domain. The skew field $Fract(A_Q)$ is called a skew field of twisted rational functions.
Definition 4.2. We shall say that an element x semicommutates with an element y if $xy = qyx$ for some $q \in k[Q]$.
Lemma 4.3. Let R' be a $k(Q)$-algebra. We suppose that R' is freely generated over $k(Q)$ by the elements $x^{\overline{m}} = x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_i^{m_i} x_{i+1}^{\pm m_{i+1}} \cdots x_n^{\pm m_n}$, $\overline{m} = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n$ and the generators obey the defining relations:
i) $x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n$ semicommutates with all generators $x_1, \ldots, x_n$;
ii) The generators $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ satisfy (1.1), i.e

$$x_j x_s - q_{js} x_s x_j = r_{js}$$

where $j < s \leq i$ and r_{js} is some element in the subalgera R'_{j+1} generated by

$$x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{i+1}^{\pm}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm}.$$

Then the element x_i is a FA-element in R' and ,futhermore, for every $y \in R'$ there exists a splitted polynomial $f(t) = (t - \beta_1) \cdots (t - \beta_N)$ with roots in Γ, satisfying (3.1).
Proof. The algebra R' admites the decreasing chain of subalgebras

$$R = R_1 \supset R_2 \supset \ldots \supset R'_{i+1}.$$

The algebra R'_{i+1} is an algebra of twisted Laurent polynomials. The algebra R is generated as R'_{i+1}-module by the elements $x^{\overline{m}} = x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \cdots x_i^{m_i}$ where $\overline{m} = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^i$. We consider the lexicographical order $<$ on $\mathbf{Z}_+^i$ as in Intoduction. We define the degree for $a \in R$ as follows $deg(a) = min\{\overline{m} \in \mathbf{Z}_+^i : a \in R(\overline{m})\}$. Denote $x = x_i$.
1) We shall prove at this step that x is an Ore element in R'. Let $y \in R'$. We are going to prove that there exists $N \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and b_1 such that $x^N y = b_1 x$. The existence of $M \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and b_2 satisfying $yx^M = xb_2$ can de proved similarly.

We shall prove the statement by induction on $deg(y)$. If $deg(y) = \bar{0}$, then $y \in R'_{i+1}$. and it is easy. We assume that the statement is proved for y with $deg(y) < \overline{m}$. Let $deg(y) = \overline{m} \neq 0$. The conditions (1.1) implies that there exists $\beta \in \Gamma$ and $b \in R'$ such that

$$xy = \beta yx + b, \tag{4.1}$$

where $b = 0$ or $deg(b) < deg(y)$. By the assumption of induction, there exist $l \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $b' \in R'$ such that $x^l b = b'x$. Therefore $x^{l+1}y = x^l(\beta yx + b) = x^l \beta yx + b'x = (x^l \beta y + b')x$. Denoting $N = l + 1$ and $b_1 = x^l \beta y + b'$, we get $x^N y = b_1 x$.
2) At the second step we shall prove that x is a FA-element. Consider the localization of R' on x. Let $y \in R'$. The existence of polynomial $f(t)$, satisfying $f(Ad_x)y = 0$, will be proved by induction on $deg(y)$.

If $deg(y) = 0$, then $y \in R'_{i+1}$ and the proof in easy. Let $deg(y) = \overline{m}$ and suppose that the statement is proved for the smaller degrees. We use (4.1) and get :

$$(Ad_x - \beta)y = xyx^{-1} - \beta y = bx^{-1} \tag{4.2}$$

where $b = 0$ or $deg(b) < deg(y)$. By assumption, there exists a polynomial $g(t)$ such that $g(Ad_x)b = 0$. Denote $f(t) = g(t)(t - \beta)$. Then $f(Ad_x)y = g(Ad_x)(Ad_x - \beta)y =$

$g(Ad_x)(bx^{-1}) = (g(Ad_x)b)x^{-1} = 0.\Box$

Main Theorem. Suppose that k-algebra R is pure Q-solvable. Then $Fract(R)$ is isomorphic $Fract(A)$ where $A = gr(R)$. In particular, the skew field of fractions of R is isomorphic to the skew field of twisted rational functions.

Proof. We shall replace step by step the algebra R by the algebra R' with generators, obeying the conditions i),ii) of Lemma 4.3 , such that $Fract(R) = Fract(R')$. Decreasing i, we get finally the algebra R' of twisted Laurent polynomials. This will conclude the proof.

Denote by S the Ore set generated by $\gamma - \gamma'$ where $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$, $\gamma \neq \gamma'$. We consider the localisation R_S of R over S. This is our first step.

Suppose that we already have constructed the algebra R' over $k[Q]_S$ with the generators $x_1, \ldots, x_i, x_{i+1}^{\pm}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm}$, satisfying i),ii), such that $Fract(R) = Fract(R')$. The algebra R' is $\mathbf{Z}_+^i$-graded and $gr(R') = A'$ is generated by $X_1, \ldots, X_i, X_{i+1}^{\pm}, \ldots, X_n^{\pm}$, $X_iX_j = q_{ij}X_jX_i$ where q_{ij} are the same as in (1.1). As in Lemma 4.3 , we denote $x = x_i$.

According to Lemma 4.3, x is a FA-element in R'. Denote by R'' the localization R'_x. We have $Fract(R') = Fract(R'')$. We shall choose the elements $x_1^{(0)}, \ldots, x_{i-1}^{(0)}$ of R'' such that the $x_1^{(0)}, \ldots, x_{i-1}^{(0)}, x_i^{\pm}, x_{i+1}^{\pm}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm}$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4.3. As above R'_j (resp.R''_j) is the subalgebra in R', generated by $x_j, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i, R'_{i+1}$ (resp. by $x_j, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i^{\pm}, R'_{i+1}$). Let $y = x_j$ be one of generators $x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}$ in R'_x. By (1.1),

$$xy - \gamma yx = r \in R'_{j+1} \tag{4.3}$$

with $\gamma = q_{ij} \in \Gamma$. Consider the minimal Ad_x-invariant $k(Q)$-subspace $W(y)$ in $R' \otimes k(Q)$. Since x is a FA-element, then $dim\, W(y) < \infty$. Let $\mu(t)$ be a minimal polynomial of y with respect to Ad_x. The $dim\, W(y)$ equals to $deg(\mu)$.

The (4.3) implies $Ad_xy = \gamma y + rx^{-1}$. Then $0 = \mu(Ad_x)y = \mu(\gamma)y + r_1$ where $r_1 \in R''_{j+1}$. Since $y = x_j \notin R'_{j+1}$, then $\mu(\gamma) = 0$ and γ is a root of $\mu(t)$.

According to Lemma 4.3, $\mu(t)$ is decomposed into linear factors with roots in Γ. By Proposition 3.3, Ad_x is diagonal in $W(y)$. Hence $\mu(t) = (t-\gamma_0)(t-\gamma_1)\cdots(t-\gamma_M)$, with the different roots $\gamma = \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_M \in \Gamma$. Denote

$$\mu_m(t) = (t-\gamma_0)\cdots\widehat{(t-\gamma_m)}\cdots(t-\gamma_M).$$

$$x_j^{(m)} = \frac{1}{(\gamma_m-\gamma_0)\cdots(\gamma_m-\gamma_M)}\mu_m(Ad_x)y$$

Since $\gamma_m - \gamma_k \in S$, the element $x_j^{(m)}$ belongs to R'_x One can decompose y in the sum of eigenvectors $y = x_j = x_j^{(0)} + x_j^{(1)} + \cdots + x_j^{(M)}$ where $Ad_xx_j^{(m)} = \gamma_m x_j^{(m)}$, $m \in \overline{0,M}$. Here M depends on j. Observe that $x_j^{(m)} = const \cdot \mu_m(Ad_x)x_j$. It follows that

1) the elements $x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n$ semicommutates with $x_j^{(m)}$ for all j and m;

2) if $m \neq 0$, then $t - \gamma$ divides $\mu_m(t)$ and, therefore, $x_j^{(m)} \in R''_{j+1}$. We have $y = x_j = x_j^0\ mod R''_{j+1}$.

Consider l such that $0 \leq j < l \leq i-1$. As above one can decompose $x_l = x_l^{(0)} + x_j^{(1)} + \cdots + x_j^{(P)}$ into a sum of eigenvectors: $Ad_xx_l^{(p)} = \xi_p x_l^{(p)}$, $p \in \overline{0,P}$. The elements x_j and x_l satisfies (1.1):

$$x_jx_l - q_{jl}x_lx_j = r_{j+1} \in R''_{j+1} \tag{4.4}$$

We replace x_j and x_l by their decompositions into sums of eigenvectors in (4.4):

$$\begin{gathered}(x_j^{(0)} + x_j^{(1)} + \cdots + x_j^{(M)})(x_l^{(0)} + x_l^{(1)} + \cdots + x_l^{(P)}) - \\ q_{jl}(x_l^{(0)} + x_l^{(1)} + \cdots + x_l^{(P)})(x_j^{(0)} + x_j^{(1)} + \cdots + x_j^{(M)}) = r_{j+1} \in R''_{j+1}\end{gathered} \tag{4.5}$$

Denote

$$\Lambda = \{(m,p) : 0 \leq m \leq M, 0 \leq p \leq P, \gamma_m \xi_p = \gamma_0 \xi_0\}$$

Remark that Λ does not contain any pair $(0,p)$ besides $(0,0)$.

The subralgebra R''_{j+1} is Ad_x-invariant and Ad_x is diagonable in every finite dimensional subspace. Decompose r_{j+1} into the sum of eigenvectors. Let r^0_{j+1} be the component with the eigenvalue $\xi_0\gamma_0$ in r_{j+1}. The element r^0_{j+1} can be calculated via (4.5):

$$x_j^{(0)}x_l^{(0)} - q_{jl}x_l^{(0)}x_j^{(0)} + \sum_{(m,p)\in\Lambda} (x_j^{(m)}x_l^{(p)} - q_{jl}x_l^{(p)}x_j^{(m)}) = r^0_{j+1}$$

Since $x_j^{(m)}$ and $x_l^{(p)}$ lies in R''_{j+1} for $m \neq 0$ and all p, we have

$$x_j^{(0)}x_l^{(0)} - q_{jl}x_l^{(0)}x_j^{(0)} = -\sum_{(m,p)\in\Lambda} (x_j^{(m)}x_l^{(p)} - q_{jl}x_l^{(p)}x_j^{(m)}) + r^0_{j+1} \in R''_{j+1} \tag{4.6}$$

Recall that $x_j = x_j^0 mod R''_{j+1}$. This proves that the elements $x_1^0, \ldots, x_{i-1}^0, x_i^\pm, x_{i+1}^\pm, \ldots, x_n^\pm$ generate $R'' = R'_x$. Moreover the elements $(x_1^0)^{m_1} \cdots (x_{i-1}^0)^{m_{i-1}} x_i^{\pm m_{i+1}} \cdots x_n^{\pm m_n}$ with $(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n$ form a free basis of R'' over $k[Q]_S$. The relations (4.6) is similar to (1.1). It is clear that $Fract(R') = Fract(R'')$.

We replace R' by R'' and begin the process from the beginning. Finally we get the algebra $R^{(n)}$ of twisted Laurent polynomials with $Fract(R^{(n)}) = Fract(R)$. The $R^{(n)}$ is generated by some elemelents $y_1^\pm, \ldots, y_n^\pm$ with the relations $y_iy_j = q_{ij}y_jy_i$. We obtain $Fract(R) = Fract(R^{(n)}) = Fract(A)$. This proves that $Fract(R)$ is a skew field of twisted rational functions. □

Definition 4.4. As in Proof of Main Theorem S is the Ore set generated by the elements $\gamma - \gamma'$ for $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$ and $\gamma \neq \gamma'$.
Let J be a completely prime ideal in $k[Q]$. We call J an admissible ideal if it does not intersect with S. Note that in the case $k[Q] = k[q, q^{-1}]$, q is an indeterminate, the ideal $J = k[q, q^{-1}](q - \varepsilon)$ is admissible if ε is not a root of unity. The specialization $R \mapsto R_J$ (resp.$R \mapsto R_\varepsilon$) will be called admissible specialization.
Corollary 4.5. Main Theorem is true for the admissible specializations of pure Q-solvable algebras.
Proof. The algebra R is freely generated as $k[Q]$-module by the elements $x^{\overline{m}} = x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2}\cdots x_n^{m_n}$, $m_i \in \mathbf{Z}_+$. In Main Theorem we construct step by step the knew set of generators which are defined over $k[Q]_S$. Finally we get the algebra $R^{(n)}$ of Laurent twisted polynomials with the semicommutating generators $y_1^\pm, \ldots, y_n^\pm$. The $R^{(n)}$ is freely generated as $k[Q]_S$-module by the elements $y^{\overline{m}} = y_1^{m_1}y_2^{m_2}\cdots y_n^{m_n}$, $m_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ The ideal J does not intersect with S. The algebra $R_J^{(n)}$ is an algebra of Laurent twisted polynomials and $Fract(R_J) = Fract(R_J^{(n)})$.□

5. EXAMPLES

Example 1. Quantum matrices.
Let $P, Q \in Mat_n(k)$ be matrices such that $p_{ij}q_{ij} = c^{sgn(j-i)}$, $p_{ij}p_{ji} = q_{ij}q_{ji} = p_{ii} = q_{ii} = 1$. The algebra $M_{P,Q,c}(n)$ of regular functions on quantum matrices is generated by the elements $\{a_{ti}\}_{t,i=1}^{n}$ with the relations

$$a_{ti}a_{sj} - q_{ts}q_{ij}^{-1}a_{sj}a_{ti} = (p_{ts}^{-1} - q_{ij})a_{si}a_{tj}$$

for $i < j$, $t < s$ or $i > j$, $t > s$ and

$$a_{ti}a_{sj} = p_{ts}^{-1}q_{ij}^{-1}a_{sj}a_{ti}$$

in all other cases (see [MP]). Denote $x_{(i-1)n+j} = a_{ij}$ and R_s is the algebra generated by x_t, $t = 1, \ldots, s$. Consider the filtraton:

$$R = R_{n^2} \supset \ldots \supset R_1 \supset R_0 = k[Q]$$

The $M_{P,Q,c}(n)$ is a Q-solvable with respect to this filtration. Consider the specialzations $p_{ij}, c \mapsto \varepsilon_{ij}, \varepsilon_o$ at roots of unity $\varepsilon_{ij}^{l_{ij}} = 1$, $\varepsilon_0^{l_0} = 1$. The elements a_{ij}^{l} with $l = l_0 \prod l_{ij}$ lie in the center of R_ε. The algebra R_ε is finitely generated over the center. Therefore $M_{P,Q,c}(n)$ is pure Q-algebra. Well known that monomials of a_{ij} form a k-basis (see [D], 2.4). The Main Theorem asserts that the skew field $Fract(M_{P,Q,c}(n))$ is isomorphic to the skew field of fractions of the algebra of twisted polynomials generated by $\{y_{ti}\}_{t,i=1}^{n}$ with the relations $y_{ti}y_{sj} = q_{ts}q_{ij}^{-1}y_{sj}y_{ti}$ for $i < j$, $t < s$ or $i > j$, $t > s$ and $y_{ti}y_{sj} = p_{ts}^{-1}q_{ij}^{-1}y_{sj}y_{ti}$ in all other cases (see [P],[MP],[C]).

Example 2. Quantum Weyl algebra.
The matrices P, Q and c as in Quantum matrices. A Quantum Weyl algebra $A_{P,Q,c}(n)$ is generated by x_i,y_i, $i = \overline{1,n}$ with relations

$x_ix_j = q_{ij}^{-1}x_jx_i$;
$y_iy_j = p_{ij}y_jy_i$;
$y_ix_j = p_{ji}x_jy_i$ for $i < j$;
$y_ix_j = q_{ij}x_jy_i$ for $i > j$;
$y_ix_i = 1 + c^{-1}x_iy_i + (c^{-1} - 1)\sum_{\alpha=i+1}^{n} x_\alpha y_\alpha$; see [AD2] and ([D],4.2).

The Quantum Weyl algebra is an iterated skew polynomial extension of $k[Q]$ ([GL]). Therefore the monomials of $y_1, \ldots, y_n, x_1, \ldots, x_n$ form $k[Q]$-basis. The Quantum Weyl algebra is Q-solvable. Consider the specialzations $p_i, c \mapsto \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_o$ into roots of unities $\varepsilon_i^{l_i} = 1$, $\varepsilon_0^{l_0} = 1$. The elements x_i^l and y_i^l with $l = l_0 \prod l_i$ lie in the center of $A_\varepsilon = (A_{P,Q,c}(n))_\varepsilon$. The algebra A_ε is finitely generated over the center. Therefore $A_{P,Q,c}(n)$ is pure Q-algebra. The Main Theorem implies that the skew field $Fract(A_{P,Q,c}(n))$ is isomorphic to the skew field of fractions for the twisted polynomial algebra, generated by X_i,Y_i, $i = \overline{1,n}$ with relations

$X_iX_j = q_{ij}^{-1}X_jX_i$;
$Y_iY_j = p_{ij}Y_jY_i$;
$Y_iX_j = p_{ji}X_jY_i$ for $i < j$;
$Y_iX_j = q_{ij}X_jY_i$ for $i > j$;
$Y_iX_i = c^{-1}X_iY_i$ (see [AD2]).

Example 3. $U_q(\mathbf{n}^+)$
The quantum universal enveloping algebra $R = U_q(\mathbf{n}^+)$ for the upper nilpotent subalgebra $\mathbf{n}^+$ is generated over $k[q, q^{-1}, (q^{d_i} - q^{-d_i})^{-1}]$ by E_i, $i = \overline{1, n}$ with the quantum Chevallley-Serre relations. Fix a reduced expression $w_0 = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_N}$ of the longest element in the Weyl group W. Consider the following convex ordering

$$\beta_1 = \alpha_{i_1}, \beta_2 = s_{i_1}(\alpha_2), \dots, \beta_N = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{N-1}}(\alpha_N)$$

in the set Δ^+ of positive roots. Introduce the corresponding *root vectors* for $s = \overline{1, N}$ ([L]):

$$E_{\beta_s} = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{s-1}} E_{i_s}.$$

For $m = (m_1, \dots, m_N)$ let $E^m = E_{\beta_1}^{m_1} \cdots E_{\beta_N}^{m_N}$. The elements E^m, $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+^N$ form a basis of $U_q(\mathbf{n}^+)$ over $k[q, q^{-1}, (q^{d_i} - q^{-d_i})^{-1}]$ (see [L]). The relations between E_{β_i}, $i = \overline{1, N}$ as follows [LS]:

$$E_{\beta_i} E_{\beta_j} - q^{-(\beta_i, \beta_j)} E_{\beta_j} E_{\beta_i} = \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}_+^N} c_m E^m, \tag{5.1}$$

where $i < j$, $c_m \in k[q, q^{-1}]$ and $c_m \neq 0$ only when $m = (m_1, \dots, m_N)$ is such that $m_s = 0$ for $s \leq i$ and $s \geq j$. The element in the right side of (5.1) belongs to the subalgebra R_{i+1} generated by $E_{\beta_{i+1}}, \dots, E_{\beta_N}$ The relations (5.1) have the form (1.1). The algebra $U_q(\mathbf{n}^+)$ is Q-solvable. The elements E_α^l, $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ lie in the center of R_ε if l is relatively prime to all d_i, $l > max\{d_i\}$ and ε is a primitive l-th root of unity [DCK]. Hence $U_q(\mathbf{n}^+)$ is pure Q-algebra. The Main Theorem asserts that the skew field $Fract(U_q(\mathbf{n}^+))$ is isomorphic to the skew field of fractions of the algebra of twisted polynomials generated by e_{β_i}, $i = \overline{1, N}$ with the relations $e_{\beta_i} e_{\beta_j} = q^{-(\beta_i, \beta_j)} e_{\beta_j} e_{\beta_i}$, $i < j$ (see [J]). By Corollary 4.5, the Main Theorem remains true in the case $q \in k^*$ and q is not a root of unity.

Acknowledgments. I am very grateful to J.Alev and F.Dumas for support. I would like to acknowledge P.Caldero for the following information: the Main Theorem of the article for $U_q(\mathbf{n})$ and in some other cases can be derived from Prop.2.2, Prop.2.3 of the paper [C1] and also from paragraph 3 of [C2].

References

[AD1] J.Alev and F.Dumas, Corps de Weyl mixtes, preprint ESA 6056 (1998), Universite de Reims.

[AD2] J.Alev and F.Dumas, Sur le corps des fractions de certaines algebres quantiques, J.Algebra, **170**,No 1(1994), 229-265.

[C] G.Cauchon, Quotients premiers de $O_q(m_n(k))$, J.Algebra, **180**, 530-545 (1996).

[GL] K.R.Goodearl and E.S.Letzter, Prime Ideals in Skew and q-Skew Polynomial Rings, Memoirs AMS, N521 (1994).

[J] A.Joseph, Sur une Conjecture de Feigin, C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris, **320**, Serie 1, 1441-1444 (1995).

[L] G.Lustig, Quantum groups at roots of 1, Geom.Ded. **35**, 89-114(1990).

[LS] S.Z.Levendorskii and Y.S.Soibelman, Some applications of quantum Weyl group 1. The multiplicative formula for universal R-matrix for simple Lie algebras, Journ.Geom and Phys.,**7**, N4 (1991).

[DCK] C.De Concini, V.G.Kac, Representations of quantum groups at roots of 1, Progress in Math.,**92**, Birkhauser, 471-506(1990).

[P] A.Panov, Skew fields of twisted rational functions and the skew field of rational functions on $GL_q(n,K)$, St.Petersburg Math.J.,**7**, No 1(1996), 129-143.

[MP] V.Mosin and A.Panov, Division rings of quotients and central elements of multiparameter quantizations, Sbornik:Mathematics **187**:6(1996), 835-855.

[D] E.Demidov, Quantum groups(russian), Factorial, Moscow, pp.128, 1998; ISBN 5-88688-031-3.

[C1] P.Caldero, On the Gelfand-Kirillov conjecture for quantum algebras, to appear in Proc.Am.Math.Soc.

[C2] P.Caldero, On the q-commutations in $U_q(n)$ at roots of one, J.Algebra,**210** 1998,557-576.